

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 09/892,251
 06/26/2001
 Frederick A. Perner
 10003465-1
 3446

7590 03/13/2003

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 EXAMINER

DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2834

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/892,251	PERNER, FREDERICK A.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
77	DO MALLINO DATE ALL:	Thomas M. Dougherty	2834	
Period for R	ne MAILING DATE of this communication app eply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
- Extensions after SIX (6 - If the perio - If NO perio - Failure to r - Any reply r	FENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. s of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (a) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. d for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply did for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eccived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.	
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ja</u>	une 2001		
1		s action is non-final.		
3)□ Sir	nce this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters or	Occurring as to the movite to	
Disposition of	bed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
	m(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4 and 6-19</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application P	apers	orodion requirement.		
9)☐ The s	specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
	⁻ 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)∐ All	a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
	wledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
a) ∐ T	he translation of the foreign language provisely wledgment is made of a claim for domestic	sional application has been rece	ived	
Attachment(s)			MINNE VI IA. I.	
2) Notice of Dra	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) stent Application (PTO-152)	
.S. Patent and Trademark PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01	Office Office Actio	n Summary	Part of Paper No. 0303	

Application/Control Number: 09/892,251

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pulvari (US 4,365,106). Pulvari shows (fig. 4) an integrated circuit comprising a regulated power supply including a pyroelectric capacitor (10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pulvari (US 4,365,106) in view of Ikura et al. (US 6,528,898). Given the invention of Pulvari as noted above, he does not show a heater capability or an output circuit. Ikura et al. show (fig. 7) a circuit comprising a regulated power supply including a pyroelectric capacitor (63).

The device further comprising a heater (Hot Water), thermally coupled to the capacitor (63), and a circuit (flow switches) for causing the heater to apply heat pulses to the pyroelectric capacitor (63).

Application/Control Number: 09/892,251

Art Unit: 2834

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 6-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to show a heater that includes a thin film dissipating element on a plate of the pyroelectric capacitor, a circuit including voltage sense used for feedback or servo operation controlling the heat cycles to the pyroelectric capacitor.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining prior art cited reads on at least some aspects of the claimed invention.

Direct inquiry concerning this action to Examiner Dougherty at (703) 308-1628.

Hid tmd

March 11, 2003

Holed